

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/016,187	12/12/2001	David John McComas	090936.0432	4235	
75	05/22/2003			200	
Ann C. Livingston			EXAMINER		
Baker Botts L.L.P. 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 600			FERNANDEZ, KALIMAH		
Dallas, TX 75			ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
			2881		
		DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				' \L	V			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/016,187		MCCOMAS, DAVID JOHN				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kalimah Fer		2881				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exten after 5 - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, oly within the statutor if will apply and will expense the applica	however, may a reply be ting with the second of thirty (30) day orice SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o	y. ommunication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)[71110 0001011 10 11 11 11	his action is no						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except f r <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	or formal matters, p cyle, 1935 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to tl 453 O.G. 213.	ne merits is			
-	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from cons	ideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•—	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election rec	juirement.					
	ion Papers	nor.						
9)[_	The specification is objected to by the Examin	onted or b)☐ o	bjected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) h	e held in abevance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a)				
441	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ apı	proved b) disappi	roved by the Exami	ner.			
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
121	The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
131	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
)							
a	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume			ation No				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a l	riority docume Bureau (PCT f	nts have been recei Rule 17.2(a)).	ved in this Nation	al Stage			
141	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provision	nal application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional app	olication has been re	eceived.				
Attachme								
2) 🗍 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	ary (PTO-413) Paper al Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and	d Trademark Office	e Action Summa	ν	Part of Paper No	. 3			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,187

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 19 recites "the detector...", however two detectors are claimed in claim 1. Therefore, it is unclear which applicant intends, thus rendering claim 19 indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

And/Or

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

· Application/Control Number: 10/016,187

Art Unit: 2881

1. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 5,168,158 issued to McComas et al.

- 2. McComas et al discloses a mass spectrometer having a detector (40) and suppression grid (77) (col.8, lines 9-34).
- McComas et al discloses a detector for detecting the electrons (col.8, lines 10 14).
- 4. McComas et al discloses a suppression grid placed in the electron flight path in front of the detector (col.8, lines 25-28).
- 5. McComas et al discloses said grid being electrically conductive (col.8, line 27).
- 6. McComas et al discloses said grid may receive an applied voltage via endcap (41) (col.7, lines 26-28; col.8, lines 27-28).
- 7. McComas et al discloses said grid transmits to the detector only a fraction (~90/100 or 90%) of the electrons received at the grid (col.8, lines 25-28).
- 8. As per claim 3, McComas et al discloses a micro-channel plates (col.8, lines 20-25).
- 9. As per claim 4, McComas et al discloses a calibration unit (col. 9, lines 23-28; col.11, lines 27-31).
- 10. As per claims 5-6, McComas et al discloses a foil (38) secondary electron emission surface for scattering electrons to be received at the suppression grid (col.8, lines 35-40).
- 11. As per claim 7, all limitations are discusses above.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 2881

12. As per claim 8, McComas et al discloses the step of setting the applied voltage to receive a known percentage of the electron (col. 9, lines 29-36).

- 13. As per claim 9, McComas et al discloses the step of periodically scanning a range of voltages applied to the suppression grid (col.7, lines 26-28; col.7, lines 18-25; col.11, lines 23-26).
- 14. As per claims 10-11, McComas et al discloses measuring counts of the electrons received at the grid as a function of their energy and voltage and of comparing the measured data to stored calibration data (col.10, lines 30-65).
- 15. As per claim 12, McComas et al discloses the step of measuring counts of the electrons received at the grid as a function of their species, and of comparing the measured data to stored calibration data (col. 11, lines 27-34).
- 16. As per claims 13-14, McComas et al discloses the use of both detectors (40,44) for calibration purposes (col.9, lines 18-28). McComas et al discloses providing periodic voltages to the second detector (col.9, lines 15-17).
- 17. As per claim 15, McComas et al discloses a foil (38) for transmitting particles and producing secondary electrons from the particles at the output side of the foil (col.8, lines 35-38).
- 18. McComas et al discloses a start detector (44) for counting electrons generated from the foil (col.8, lines 38-42).
- 19. McComas et al discloses a stop detector (40) for counting particles transmitted through the foil (col.8, lines 9-34).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,187

Art Unit: 2881

20. McComas et al discloses a suppression grid (77) as claimed (col.8, lines 25-28; also see ground of rejection of claim 1 above).

- 21. As per claim 16, McComas et al discloses a suppression grid (72) in front of start detector (44) (col.8, lines 42-44).
- 22. As per claim 17, McComas et al discloses a suppression grid (77) as claimed (col.8, lines 25-28; also see ground of rejection of claim 1 above).
- 23. As per claims 2 and 18, McComas et al discloses control electronics for varying the voltage applied to the suppression grid (col.7, lines 30-34; col.9, lines 15-17; col.9, lines 25-28).
- 24. As per claim 19, McComas et al discloses a micro-channel plate (col.8, lines 20-25; col.8, lines 40-42).
- 25. As per claim 20, McComas et al discloses a calibration unit (col.9, lines 23-28; col.11, lines 27-31).
- 26. As per claim 21, McComas et al discloses a control unit for applying voltage to the foil (col.7, lines 34-40).
- 27. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Pat No 6,294,790 issued to Wienberger.
- 28. Wienberger discloses a particle detector (col.1, lines 11-13).
- 29. Wienberger discloses a detector for detecting electrons (col.9, lines 11-19).
- 30. Wienberger discloses a suppression grid (62) placed in the electron flight path in front of the detector (col.11, lines 61-67; col.12, lines 14-16).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,187

Art Unit: 2881

31. Wienberger discloses said grid (62) made from a conductive material (col.11, lines 31-36).

- 32. Wienberger discloses said grid receives an applied voltage (col.11, lines 61-620.
- 33. Wienberger discloses said grid operable to transmit to the detector only a fraction of the electrons received at the grid (col.11, lines 31-32). That is, Wienberger's grid (62) is operable to transmit only 30%-70% of incident electrons.
- 34. As per claim 2, Wienberger discloses varying/altering the voltage applied to the suppression grid (62) via control electronics (col.13, lines 45-69; col.13, line 66-col.14, line 4).
- 35. As per claim 3, Wienberger discloses a microchannel plate (col.8, lines 35-51).
- 36. As per claim 7, Wienberger discloses producing secondary electrons at a secondary electron emission surface (col.12, lines 9-10).
- 37. Wienberger discloses receiving the secondary electrons at a detector (col.8, lines 35-51).
- 38. Wienberger discloses placing a suppression grid in the electron flight path in front of the detector (col.11, lines 61-67).
- 39. Wienberger discloses said grid being made from a conductive material (col.11, lines 31-32).
- 40. Wienberger discloses applying a voltage to the grid (col.11, lines 61-62) such that the grid is operable to transmit to the detector only fraction of the electrons received at the grid (col.11, lines 31-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,187

Art Unit: 2881

Conclusion

41. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5,223,711 issued to Sanderson et al teaches a particle detector for detecting secondary electrons (col.6, lines 23-27).

- 42. Sanderson et al teaches a suppression grid (col.4, lines 18-21;col.6, lines 57-59).
- 43. Sanderson et al teaches said grid is electrically conductive for receiving an applied voltage (col.5, lines 43-47).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kalimah Fernandez whose telephone number is 703-305-6310. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thus between 8:30am-6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on 703-308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2881

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

kf May 15, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800